

Taipan history



- Dates back to initial concept around 2009, when planning for future use of the UK Schmidt Telescope
- Conceived as next-generation galaxy spectroscopic survey covering the southern hemisphere and significantly extending the 6dF Galaxy Survey
- In 2012, a workshop was held at Macquarie University to develop key science themes; survey team science workshops held annually since, with team video meetings every few weeks to develop key science team components
- Three key technical developments identified as necessary to go beyond 6dF:
 - extensive UKST telescope and dome hardware and software upgrades to allow fully automated survey observations
 - o a new purpose-designed, fixed-format, high-efficiency spectrograph
 - a new fibre positioning system using the AAO's Starbug technology (doubling as a prototype for MANIFEST fibre facility on GMT)

UK Schmidt Telescope





Taipan overview



- A spectroscopic survey of galaxies over the southern hemisphere using the 1.2-metre UK Schmidt Telescope
- Observing program will take 4-5 years, from early 2019
- Spectra at R~2100 for 2×10^6 galaxies, complete to i = 17
- The survey team comprises more than 70 people
- Survey description: da Cunha et al., 2017, PASA, 34, 47 <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/pasa.2017.41</u>
- More details about survey available on Taipan website <u>https://www.taipan-survey.org/</u>



Taipan has three key science cases:

- Measuring H₀, the present-day expansion rate of the universe, with 1% precision and the growth rate of structure with 5% precision
- Making the most extensive maps of the motions and mass distribution in the local universe using galaxy peculiar velocities
- Understanding the role of mass and environment in the evolution of galaxies

Redshift surveys



UKST + TAIPAN system

- The Taipan survey will employ the new TAIPAN multi-fibre spectrograph on a rejuvenated UKST...
 - The I.2-metre UK Schmidt Telescope at Siding Spring Observatory is being fully refurbished so that it can operate in an automated mode, substantially increasing efficiency while reducing operating costs
 - A new I50-fibre Starbugs positioner is being built by AAO to provide rapid automated reconfigurations (prototype for MANIFEST system on GMT); additional funding has now been secured for upgrade to 300 fibres
 - A new TAIPAN spectrograph will provide high-throughput, fixed-format spectroscopy over 370nm to 870nm at R~2100
 - UKST+TAIPAN currently being commissioned; TAIPAN survey expected to start in early 2019







Starbug fibre positioner



 Starbugs are piezoelectric micro-robots providing an elegant way to position fibres in telescope focal planes



- The 150-starbug TAIPAN system is now being commissioned; the upgrade to the full 300-starbug system will occur in 2019
- Starbugs will also be used in the MANIFEST fibre system that will feed spectrographs on the Giant Magellan Telescope

Starbug fibre positioner

The initial 150-starbug TAIPAN system is now being commissioned; upgrading to the full 300-starbug system will occur in 2019





TAIPAN technical specifications



Field of view	6° diameter	
# fibres	150 (upgrade to 300 in 2019)	
Fibre diameter	3.3 arcsec	+
Wavelength range	370 nm – 870 nm	+
Resolving power (R = $\lambda/\Delta\lambda$)	1960 (blue) & 2740 (red)	
Instrumental resolution (σ)	65 km/s (blue) & 46 km/s (red)	





Taipan components & phases



- The Taipan galaxy survey has <u>three components</u>:
 - BAO survey large-volume z-survey optimized for cosmology
 - Peculiar velocity survey Fundamental Plane survey optimized for nearby early-type galaxies and measuring peculiar motions
 - Legacy survey an *i*-band magnitude-limited sample with high completeness optimized for galaxy studies and legacy value
- The survey will be carried out in <u>two phases</u>:
 - Taipan Phase I [first ~15 months] will be based on 2MASS (BAO survey), 6dFGS (PV survey) & KiDS-S (*i*-band survey); these are the best available sources at the start of the survey
 - Taipan Final [next ~3 years] will be based on SkyMapper and PanSTARRS (all surveys); best input sources by end of Phase I

Taipan survey phases



-		Magnitude	Number	Median redshift,	Sky area	Volume at z_{med}
-		limits	of galaxies	Zmed	/deg ²	$/h^{-3}{ m Mpc}^{3}$
	BAO $J_{\text{Vega}} < 15.4$		3.0×10^{5}	0.110	20, 600	2.0×10^{8}
lase		$J_{\rm Vega} - K_{\rm Vega} > 1.2$				7
	Peculiar velocities	$r_{\rm fibre} < 17.6$	3.3×10^{4}	0.055	17, 000	2.2×10^{7}
₫	<i>i</i> -selected	<i>i</i> ≤ 17	9.0×10^{4}	0.086	1, 500	7.2×10^{6}
	BAO	<i>i</i> ≤ 17		0.170	20, 600	1.3×10^{9}
		LRG: $17 < i < 18.1, g - i > 1.6$				
Ĩ.	Peculiar velocities	$r_{\rm fibre} < 17.6$	5.0×10^4	0.065	20, 600	4.3×10^{7}
ш.		$g_{\rm fibre} - r_{\rm fibre} > 0.8$				
	<i>i</i> -selected	<i>i</i> ≤ 17	1.2×10^{6}	0.086	20, 600	9.8×10^{7}
	10 ³ 10 ² [deg ⁻⁵ ber unit z]	 6dFGS Taipan Phase 1 Taipan Final BAO [nP=1] N(z) for z-sample	9000 8000 7000 6000 2000 1000	Taipan Final Taipan Phase 1 6dFGSv		N(z) for v-sample
	0.00 0.05 0.10 0.1	5 0.20 0.25 0.30 0.35 0.40 z	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$	0.02 0.04 0.00	6 0.08	0.10

Taipan and other surveys



		Magnitude	Number	Median redshift,	Sky area	Volume at z_{med}
		limits	of galaxies	Zmed	/deg ²	$/h^{-3}\mathrm{Mpc}^3$
	BAO	$J_{\mathrm{Vega}} < 15.4$	3.0×10^{5}	0.110	20, 600	2.0×10^{8}
		$J_{\text{Vega}} - K_{\text{Vega}} > 1.2$				
Taipan Phase 1	Peculiar velocities	$r_{\rm fibre} < 17.6$	3.3×10^{4}	0.055	17,000	2.2×10^7
(Section 4.2)						
	<i>i</i> -selected	$i \leq 17$	9.0×10^{4}	0.086	1, 500	7.2×10^{6}
	BAO	$i \leq 17$	2.0×10^{6}	0.170	20, 600	1.3×10^{9}
		LRG: $17 < i < 18.1, g - i > 1.6$				
Taipan Final	Peculiar velocities	$r_{\rm fibre} < 17.6$	5.0×10^{4}	0.065	20, 600	4.3×10^{7}
(Section 4.3)		$g_{\rm fibre} - r_{\rm fibre} > 0.8$				
	<i>i</i> -selected	$i \leq 17$	1.2×10^{6}	0.086	20, 600	9.8×10^{7}
6dFGS		$K_{\text{Vega}} \le 12.65$	1.3×10^{5}	0.053	17,000	2.1×10^{7}
(Jones et al. 2009)						
2dFGRS		$b_{ m J} \le 19.45$	2.2×10^{5}	0.110	1,600	1.7×10^{7}
(Colless et al. 2001)						
SDSS-DR7		$r \le 17.77$	9.3×10^{5}	0.100	9, 380	7.6×10^{7}
(Abazajian et al. 2009)						

Note: For Taipan Phase 1 and Taipan Final, we divide the survey into three samples: 'BAO' is the redshift sample for BAOs/cosmology science, which includes the magnitude-limited sample and LRG extension; 'Peculiar velocities' refers to the peculiar velocity sample; and '*i*-selected' refers to the spectroscopically-complete, magnitude-limited ($i \le 17$) sample that will be used for galaxy evolution science.

Simulation of Taipan observations



Simulation of Taipan observations

BAO sample: 2MASS LRGs and $J_{Vega} < 15.4$; PV sample: 6dFGS, Taipan; Legacy sample: i < 17



Night number

Taipan Live Data Reduction



Cosmological goals



- I. What is the current expansion rate of the universe? Directly measure the Hubble constant, H_0 , at *low* redshift (i.e. with minimal dependence on the cosmological model) to a precision of 1% using the large-scale distribution of galaxies
- 2. What are the local universe density & velocity fields? Map both *density* & *velocity* fields over a greater volume and with more galaxies than any previous survey, and check consistency
- 3. What is the correct theory of gravity on large scales? Test gravity models using both the *peculiar velocities* of galaxies and the *redshift-space distortions* of their large-scale distribution

Taipan will exploit the power of measuring both redshifts & peculiar velocities in the same volume – which is only possible at *low* redshift

Tests of large-scale gravity

- Is the growth rate of structure consistent with the cosmic expansion history?
- Is the gravitational physics of the homogeneous and inhomogeneous Universe consistent?
- Need to measure galaxy velocities ...



Why measure H_0 ?

- H₀, the <u>local</u> (i.e. zero-redshift) expansion rate, is a fundamental cosmic parameter defining the age & scale of the universe
- For a flat ΛCDM universe, Planck CMB observations alone give H₀ to ~1%, but this is a model-dependent result
- An independent measure of H₀ is a key prior improving constraints on other parameters (e.g. dark energy, neutrino numbers/mass)
- Currently, there is a significant discrepancy between H₀ determined from the CMB and local 'distance ladder' measurements (SNe, Cepheids, masers) with tension at >3σ level



accuracy of Ho prior



Local & CMB H_0 are discrepant



Local & CMB H_0 are discrepant

- Furthermore, the observational discrepancies in H₀ have been sharpening up over time
- These discrepancies could be...
 - ... systematic errors in either the local or the CMB measurements
 - ... a signature of non- Λ CDM physics in the cosmological model
 - ... a signature of gravitational physics due to inhomogeneity and back-reaction



80

75

70

65

2000

4₀ [km s⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹]

KP

WMAP1

Distance Ladder

2005

SH₀ES

WMAP5

Δ ΛCDM

2010

WMAP3

SH0ES -

WMAP7



SH₀ES

WMAP9

P15+BAC

2015

Hubble constant from 6dFGS

At low z, distance measures only constrain H_0 – but such H_0 estimates are (almost) independent of the cosmological model

Local 6dFGS BAO results give lower H_0 like CMB and unlike local distance ladder





Beutler + 2011 (6dFGS, BAO) $H_0 = 67 \pm 3.2 \text{ km/s/Mpc}$ Riess + 2018 (Cepheids, SNe) $H_0 = 73.5 \pm 1.7 \text{ km/s/Mpc}$ Planck 2016 (CMB, BAO) $H_0 = 66.9 \pm 0.6 \text{ km/s/Mpc}$ (model-dependent)



Taipan BAO distances





Ζ

Hubble constant from Taipan

- With 2,000,000 galaxies at $\langle z \rangle \approx 0.17$ over $V_{eff} \approx 1.3$ Gpc³, detailed simulations show Taipan Final will measure H₀ to 0.9% precision (with 2.1% precision already by the end of Taipan Phase 1)
- Taipan Final Taipan Final will thus BAO Taipan Phase 1 be 5x more precise 6dFGS than 6dFGS: Planck 2015 CMB [ACDM] Planck 2013 \circ Gain ~2.5x from WMAP 2011 larger sample size Cepheids+SNe (Riess+2016) and volume of standard candles Cepheids+SNe (Riess+2011) Carnegie Hubble (Freedman+12) Taipan cf. 6dFGS HST Key project (Freedman+01) Gain another $\sim 2x$ \bigcirc local water masers geometrical methods from better BAO strong lensing SZ clusters reconstruction 60 65 70 75 80 85

 $H_0 / \text{km s}^{-1} \text{Mpc}^{-1}$

H₀ tensions



- Taipan will test the tension in H₀ measurements between high-z CMB and low-z distance ladder estimates by providing a 1% low-z BAO estimate for comparison
 - 2018 status: high-z Planck CMB and low-z SNe distance ladder estimates are in 3.7σ tension
 - 2021 case A: Taipan supports the Planck CMB estimate with a BAO-derived low-z 1% H₀ measurement...
 - 2021 case B: Taipan supports the distance ladder estimate with a BAO-derived, low-z 1% H₀ measurement...
- Less interesting intermediate cases are of course also possible!

2017 status	Direct measure	Distance ladder					
High redshift (z~1100)	Planck (2016) CMB 66.9 +/- 0.6	N/A					
Low redshift (z<0.1)	6dFGS (2011) BAO 67.0 +/- 3.2	Riess+(2018) SNe 73.5 +/- 1.6					
2018 status 3 .7σ 'tension' in direct (CMB) & distance ladder (SNe) results							
2021 Case A	Direct measure	Distance ladder					
High redshift (z~1100)	Planck (2016) CMB 66.9 +/- 0.6	N/A					
Low redshift (z<0.1)	Taipan (2021) BAO 66.9 +/- 0.6	Riess+(2021) SNe 73.5 +/- 0.7					
2021 Case A problem with distance ladder							
2021 Case B	Direct measure	Distance ladder					
High redshift (z~1100)	Planck (2016) CMB 66.9 +/- 0.6	N/A					
Low redshift (z<0.1)	Taipan (2021) BAO 73.5 +/- 0.7	Riess+(2021) SNe 73.5 +/- 0.7					
2021 Case B \Rightarrow problem with cosmology							

Cosmology from velocities – 6dFGS

- For parameters that are degenerate in P_{gg}(k), analysis of the peculiar velocity power spectrum P_{vv}(k) & P_{gv}(k) provides additional constraints
- 6dFGS has measured P_{vv}(k) and the growth rate of structure fo₈:
 - The growth rate is scale-independent for scales <300 Mpc/h
 - Overall growth rate at z~0 from P_{vv}(k) is consistent with higher-z estimates from RSD, and with Planck/WMAP ΛCDM models



Expanding the Taipan PV sample

- For the mass-kinematics scaling relation, aperture velocity dispersions work nearly as well as kinematic measures from integral field spectroscopy such as S_{0.5}
- Aperture velocity dispersions give tight scalings for wide ranges of morphological types
- Exploring aperture velocity dispersions as a way to extend the Fundamental
 Plane to later-type galaxies offers potential to greatly expand the Taipan sample



Taipan velocity power spectrum



Joint density & velocity fields



- Combining z & v tightens constraints on $\beta = f/b = \Omega^{\gamma}/b$
- If β varies on large scales, implies non-standard physics such as non-Gaussianity or modified gravity
- Combining z & v reduces degeneracy due to galaxy bias
- Burkey+Taylor(2004), Koda+(2014) & Howlett+(2016) provide full density & velocity Fisher matrix forecasts for Taipan, both alone & combined with other surveys (including effects of primordial non-Gaussianity, scale-dependent density/velocity biases, and zero-point offsets)

Growth rate of structure





Growth rate of structure

- The Taipan velocity survey improves on the 6dFGS v-survey by having...
 - $_{\odot}$ ~4x the volume
 - \circ ~5x sample size
 - smaller peculiar
 velocity errors
- Combining RSD & P_{vv}(k), Taipan Final will constrain fo₈ at z~0 to 2.7% (and 4.5% in Taipan Phase I)
- Can distinguish models of gravity with $f\sigma_8 \sim \Omega(z)^{\gamma}$ and $|\gamma \gamma_{GR}| > 0.05$ at $> 3\sigma$





Taipan & WALLABY

- WALLABY is an all-sky HI survey that will measure redshifts for ~500,000 HI galaxies using the Australian SKA Pathfinder: $b \approx 0.7$, $\langle z \rangle \approx 0.04$, $V_{eff} \approx 0.35$ Gpc³
- WALLABY will also obtain HI Tully-Fisher distances & peculiar velocities for a large sample of spirals
- WALLABY TF peculiar velocities for spirals will complement the Taipan FP peculiar velocities for early-types, sampling more densely the nearer half of the Taipan survey volume





Taipan–WALLABY overlaps





Growth rate of structure

- Taipan and WALLABY jointly provide significantly improved constraints on the growth rate of structure parameter
- The combination of the two surveys can measure $f\sigma_8$ to <2% precision
- The low redshifts of the WALLABY and Taipan samples allow for a much more stringent test of deviations from GR, as it is at low z where differing



at low z where differing γ produce the largest changes in $f\sigma_8$

Predictions from Fisher matrix analysis by Howlett+(2016) for results from combining various redshift and velocity surveys...

Combined Density and Velocity Fields		$\frac{100 \times \sigma(\theta_i) / \theta_i}{100 \times \sigma(\theta_i) / \theta_i}$			γ constraints	100 >	$100 imes \sigma(\gamma) / \gamma$		
Survey	Parameters	$f\sigma_8$	eta	r_g	σ_u	σ_g	Survey	Velocity Only	Velocity + Density
					$k_{max} =$	$0.2 h \mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}$	2MTF	40.4	24.0
2MTF	$f\sigma_8,eta$	14.8	16.5	-	-	-	6dFGSv	37.4	20.3
	$f\sigma_8,eta,r_g,\sigma_u,\sigma_g$	20.8	21.2	3.5	27.4	92.6	6dFGSv + 6dFGRS	37.4	13.6
6dFGSv	$f\sigma_8,eta$	12.8	14.0	-	-	-	2MTF + 6dFGSv	28.4	15.5
	$f\sigma_8,eta,r_g,\sigma_u,\sigma_g$	17.6	17.9	4.7	32.8	45.7	2MTF + 6dFGSv + 6dFGRS	28.4	11.3
6dFGSv +	$f\sigma_8,eta$	8.0	8.9	-	-	-	TAIPAN	15.2	5.2
6dFGRS	$f\sigma_8,eta,r_g,\sigma_u,\sigma_g$	11.7	12.1	1.8	29.2	21.5	WALLABY + WNSHS	16.4	5.3
2MTF +	$f\sigma_8,eta$	9.7	11.4, 10.6	-	-	-	TAIPAN + WALLABY + WNSHS	11.5	4.0
6dFGSv	$f\sigma_8,eta,r_g,\sigma_u,\sigma_g$	13.3	14.3, 13.5	3.2, 3.0	23.5, 30.3	71.6, 42.3			
2MTF +	$f\sigma_8,eta$	6.8	8.6, 7.5	-	-	-			
6dFGSv + 6dFGRS	$f\sigma_8,eta,r_g,\sigma_u,\sigma_g$	9.7	11.2, 10.0	2.6, 1.0	22.0, 28.3	59.5, 20.0			
TAIPAN	$f\sigma_8,eta$	2.3	2.6	-	-	-			
	$f\sigma_8,eta,r_g,\sigma_u,\sigma_g$	4.1	4.2	2.3	12.1	6.8			
WALLABY +	$f\sigma_8,eta$	2.7	3.3	-	-	-			
WNSHS	$f\sigma_8,eta,r_g,\sigma_u,\sigma_g$	4.2	4.4	0.3	6.8	12.9			
TAIPAN +	$f\sigma_8,eta$	1.8	2.2, 2.0	-	-	-			
WALLABY + WNSHS	$f\sigma_8, \beta, r_a, \sigma_u, \sigma_a$	2.8	3.0, 3.1	1.1, 0.3	10.9, 6.4	5.7, 9.7			

All-sky survey of local universe



- Strong arguments for an all-sky survey of the local universe...
 - to completely characterize the local velocity field, especially the monopole (local Hubble constant) and dipole terms (bulk flow)
 - to map the foreground large-scale structure for cross-correlation with deeper observations (particularly all-sky CMB surveys)
 - $\circ~$ to make a definitive database of optical spectra for local galaxies
- This can be achieved by combining the SDSS, Taipan and other northern surveys into an all-sky (|b|>10) survey to $r \approx 17.5$
 - $\circ~$ Taipan will cover southern hemisphere and north to at least +10°
 - SDSS/BOSS covers $\approx \pi$ steradians of north (with some overlap in south)
 - $_{\odot}$ These surveys can/will provide good S/N spectra to r \approx 17.6 at R~2000
 - $\circ~$ A northern survey from CAHA could cover the remaining $\widetilde{<}\pi$ steradians
 - Strong preference for consistent selection criteria (pre-/post-selection of sample) based on SDSS + SkyMapper + Pan-STARRs imaging

Taipan survey – summary



The Taipan galaxy survey is... a multi-object spectroscopic survey starting in 2019 that will cover 2π steradians over the southern sky and obtain optical spectra for about 2 million galaxies out to z=0.4; it will use the refurbished 1.2m UK Schmidt Telescope at Siding Spring Observatory with the new TAIPAN instrument, comprising an innovative 'Starbugs' positioner capable of rapidly deploying 150-300 fibres in parallel over the 6° diameter focal plane and a purpose-built high-performance, fixed-format spectrograph

The main scientific goals of Taipan are...

- I. to measure the distance scale of the universe (mainly governed by the local expansion rate, H_0) to 1% precision, and the growth rate of structure to 5%
- 2. to make the most extensive map yet constructed of the mass distribution and motions in the local universe, using peculiar velocities based on improved Fundamental Plane distances, which will enable sensitive tests of gravitational physics
- 3. to deliver a legacy sample of low-redshift galaxies that will be the primary redshift and optical spectroscopic reference catalogue for the local universe over the southern sky

For more information see the Taipan survey paper (da Cunha++ 2017, PASA, 34, 47 https://doi.org/10.1017/pasa.2017.41) & the Taipan website (https://www.taipan-survey.org)